# An induced Pluripotent Stem Cell (iPSC) Vaccine Decreases Tumor Growth and Improves Survival in a Therapeutic Mouse Model of Colon Cancer

Matthias Hundt, Michelle Li, Hui Huang, Carlos A. Obeiero-Paz, Peter F. Bove, Ivan Hernandez, Pratima Kundu, Nigel G. Kooreman, Stephen D. Wolpe, Lynne A. Bui



#### ABSTRACT

KHLORIS BIOSCIENCES

Extensive data on gene expression, metabolic state and glycosylation of cancer cells suggest that cancer represents a reversion of adult cells to an embryonic state and that induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) are good surrogates for this state. In contrast to cancer cells, iPSC have never undergone immunoediting and therefore present hundreds of oncofetal antigens in their native conformations. In this study, we administered syngeneic iPSC together with the Toll-like receptor (TLR) 9 agonist CpG1826 in a therapeutic mouse model of colon cancer with and without checkpoint inhibition.

C57BL/6 mice (n=10) were injected with 5x105 MC-38 murine colon adenocarcinoma cells s.c. One week later, mice received a course of 4 weekly injections with 10x106 irradiated (60 Gy) iPSC admixed with 1 nmol CpG1826, or PBS or CpG alone as controls. Some groups also received anti-PD-1 (clone RMP1-14, 200 µg, 2x/week, i.p.) for 4 weeks. Tumor growth and survival were monitored for 108 days post tumor injection. Mice were euthanized when tumor volume reached 2000 mm3. Serum IgG binding to iPSC and MC-38 was measured by flow cytometry 1 week after the last immunization in all 60 mice and IFN-y ELISPOTs were determined after in vitro challenge of splenocytes with iPSC and MC-38 lysates in 10 surviving mice at the end of the study

Treatment of mice with anti-PD-1, anti-PD-1+CpG, iPSC+CpG and iPSC+CpG+anti-PD-1 significantly increased median survival in comparison to CpG alone (Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test) by ~50% (Table below). Therapeutic vaccination with iPSC+CpG was as effective as treatment with anti-PD-1, and anti-PD-1+CpG. Similar data were obtained for tumor growth; iPSC+CpG vaccination was as effective in reducing tumor growth as anti-PD-1. Only immunization with iPSC+CpG and iPSC+CpG+anti-PD-1 induced a significant increase in serum IgG binding to iPSC and MC-38; IFN-y spots after in vitro challenge with iPSC and MC-38 lysates were only detectable in mice that had been injected with iPSC.

Irradiated syngeneic iPSC admixed with TLR9 agonist CpG1826 with or without combination with checkpoint inhibition induced T cell and antibody responses to iPSC that cross-reacted with MC-38. The iPSC vaccine was effective in delaying and decreasing tumor growth and in increasing median survival in a therapeutic model of colon cancer comparable to checkpoint inhibition.

Treatment	PBS	CpG	aPD-1	CpG+aPD-1	iPSC+CpG	iPSC+CpG+αPD-1
Median survival [days]	58	52	82.5	74.5	73.5	75.5
P value for CpG vs	0.9697	NA	0.0332	0.0107	0.0269	0.0156



MC-38 sc Colon Cancer Mouse Model

Treatment Group

PBS (sc)

CoG (sc)

anti-PO-1(ip)

CoS + anti-PD-1

iPSC + CpG (sc)

n=10 iPSC + CpG + anti-PD-1

Group # of mice

4 n=10

n=10

1 m=10

2 n=10

3 n=10

6

Ē 1500

1000

100000



Dose

200 µg 2x/wi

1 nmol/ 200 us 2x/wk

10x10<sup>c</sup> cells / 1 nmol / 200 µg 2x/w

100

V-I xW<sup>2</sup>

n<0.0001)

and

TUMOR GROWTH

Average Tumor Growth

60 80

\*\*\*\*

\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

Days post implant

Teatrent #

– PBS

CoG

a-PD-1

— CpG+α-PD-1

iPSC+CpG+a-PD-1

iPSC+CpG

After subcutaneous injection of

MC-38 cells into the right flank

tumor size was measured at least

twice a week using a caliper.

Tumor volume was calculated as

Therapeutic treatment with anti-

PD-1, CpG+anti-PD-1, iPSC+CpG

significantly delayed tumor growth

in comparison to treatment with

CpG alone as assessed by

calculation of the area under the

curve (AUC) of the increase of

tumor volume over time (108 days). The effect of iPSC+CpG

was as strong as checkpoint

inhibition. One-way ANOVA with

Dunnett's multiple comparison test

(\*\*, p<0.01; \*\*\*, p<0.001; \*\*\*\*,

iPSC+CpG+anti-PD-1

Turner Cell

MC-38

500.000 cells:



- PBS

- CoG

- α-PD-1

- CpG+α-PD-1

iPSC+CpG

iPSC+CpG+a-PD-1

## HUMORAL IMMUNE RESPONSE

#### **CELLULAR IMMUNE RESPONSE**



Ten out of 60 mice were alive on day 108. Nine of these 10 mice were tumor-free and one had a measurable tumor (#9, iPSC+CpG+anti-PD-1 group). These mice were euthanized to obtain splenocytes to perform an IFN-y ELISPOT assay, 500,000 splenocytes per well were challenged in vitro with 35 ug lysate for 20 hours.



inhibition was chosen to investigate the efficacy of a syngeneic iPSC vaccine and its immunogenicity.

1. Significant reduction of tumor growth and increase of survival after treatment with iPSC vaccine (iPSC+CpG and iPSC+CpG+anti-PD-1)

2. iPSC+CpG as effective as anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-1+CpG, but no additive or synergistic effect between iPSC vaccine and anti-PD-1

3. Significant induction of iPSC and MC-38 binding antibodies after iPSC vaccination. No induction of iPSC antibodies by anti-PD-1 treatment.

4. T cell response after iPSC vaccination without and with combination with anti-PD-1.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was approved by Valley Bio Services' Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee; approval number VBS1002.

# Khloris Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, United States

SURVIVAL





Serum from all 60 mice was obtained one week after the last (4th) treatment

Serum (1:50 dilution) was incubated with iPSC and MC-38 and binding measured with IgG-specific antiserum by flow cytometry.

binding to iPSC. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunnett's multiple

#### Serum IgG Binding to MC-38



Binding to MC-38 was significantly increased after treatment iPSC+CpG+anti-PD-1 in comparison to CpG. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunnett's multiple comparison test (\*, p<0.05).

Khloris Biosciences



Treatment of mice with iPSC+CpG and iPSC+CpG+anti-PD-1 significantly increased survival of mice in comparison to treatment with CpG alone as determined by Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test.

## REFERENCES

Kooreman NG, Kim Y, de Almeida PE, et al. Autologous iPSC-Based Vaccines Elicit Anti-tumor Responses In Vivo. Cell Stem Cell. 2018;22(4):501-513.e7. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.01.016

Wang L, Pegram MD, Wu JC. Induced pluripotent stem cells as a novel cancer vaccine. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2019;19(11):1191-1197 doi:10.1080/14712598.2019.1650909

Ouvang X, Liu Y, Zhou Y, et al. Antitumor effects of iPSC-based cancer vaccine in pancreatic cancer. Stem Cell Reports. 2021;16(6):1468-1477. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2021.04.004

Ghosh Z. Huang M. Hu S. Wilson KD. Dev D. Wu JC. Dissecting the oncogenic and tumorigenic potential of differentiated human induced pluripotent stem cells and human embryonic stem cells. Cancer Res. 2011;71(14):5030-5039. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-4402

Only treatments containing iPSC induced significant serum IgG

comparison test (\*\*\*\*, p<0.0001).



CONTACT

Matthias Hundt 319 N Bernardo Ave Mountain View, CA 94043 United States mhundt@khlorisbiosciences.com

CONCLUSIONS A mouse model of colon cancer with sensitivity to checkpoint